

Bay Delta Conservation Plan Review Document Comment Form

Document: Some chapters of the Draft Pre-Draft Admin Draft EIR/EIS provided to Michael Nepstad on or before November 15, 2011.

Name: Michael Nepstad
Affiliation: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Date:

Comment #	Location	Comment	Disposition
1	General	Use same name for alternatives between HCP and EIR/EIS	
2	Chapter 1	Would be helpful to list all of the entities which receive water from the Banks/Jones export facilities and how all of these entities would receive water under BDCP. For example, unclear as to how San Joaquin exchange contractors will get water under BDCP, or the south of delta wildlife refuges. Are they part of the San Luis and Delta Mendota Water Authority?	
3	Chapter 3	Note that the CVP approach channel (that channel from the trash rack to the Jones pumping plant) is subject to USACE jurisdiction under section 10 of rivers and harbors act of 1899 and section 404 of the clean water act	
4	Chapter 3.6	Would be great if features would only get mentioned once or at least described similarly each time mentioned. For example, the facilities of CCWD mentioned several times, described differently each time.	
5	Chapter 3.6	Would be great if each time features mentioned it was clearly stated if and how that feature would differ physically or operationally vs. the no action alternative.	
6	General but also related to Chapter 15	Understanding is that the new operations of most alternatives under the BDCP would entail movement of X2 further east than recent decades. Specific to Chapter 15, would that result in shifts in target fish and desired access points for fishermen?	
7	General but also related to Chapter 15	Understanding is that creation of tidal marsh in delta would result in greater mobilization of methyl mercury. Specific to chapter 15, increased levels of mercury in fish could impact recreational and commercial fisheries result in changes to #of fish safe to eat each year and catch limits.	
8	General but also related to Chapter 15	Understanding is that creation of tidal marsh in delta would result in reduction of height and areal extent of tides, and that would impact water quality and water levels. Specific to chapter 15 the changes in WQ and depth may impact distributions of fish and ability of	

		boats to navigate.	
9	General but also related to Chapter 15	Understanding is that changes in flows as a result of the BDCP would change patterns of accretion and deposition of sediment. Specific to chapter 15 that may impact distributions of fish and ability of boats to navigate.	
10	Chapter 30.1.3.1	Phil Isenberg of the Delta Stewardship Council strongly recommended the use of the entire history of timing and amounts of CVP/SWP exports from the Delta as the measure for Public Discussion and blasted the use of smaller data sets in his letter dated 29 September 2011 (attached). Seems like since all historic information is available, all of it should be used throughout the document. Recommend that anywhere the full data set of timing and amounts of CVP/SWP exports isn't being used the document should contain a statement of justification.	